PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

DILLIAN	THOMAL SEAR	CHIEVO AUTHOR	<u> </u>					
To: CHARLES J. GROSS MCGUIREWOODS LLP				PCT				
1750 TYSONS BOULEVARD, SUITE 1800 MCLEAN, VA 22102				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
				(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) 19 OCT 2005				
Applicant's or agent's file reference				FOR FURTHER ACTION				
06780069WO-2				See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. International filing date								
PCT/US05/06234 01 March 2005 (01.03 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classific			March 2005 (01.03.20 oth national classification	005) 01 March 2004 (01.03.2004)				
		US Cl.: 705/51, 57		o . o				
Applican		35 01 703/31,37	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
MODSTREAM, LLC								
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:								
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
	·							
Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
2. FUR'	THER ACTIO	N						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.								
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			Date of completion 31 August 2005 (3	•	Authorized officer Salvatore Cangialosi Telephone No. (571) 272-6927			
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201					**************************************			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/06234

Box No. I Basis of this opinion							
1. With	Vith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of						
	international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).						
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a.	type of material						
	a sequence listing						
	table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b.	format of material						
	on paper						
	in electronic form						
c.	time of filing/furnishing						
	contained in the international application as filed.						
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.						
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Additio	onal comments:						
	·						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/06234

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. Statement						
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-32	YES			
• • •		NONE	NONO			
1 1 (10)	CI. i	NONE	, VEG			
Inventive step (IS)		NONE 1-32	YES NO			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims					
	Claims	NONE	NO			
2. Citations and explanations:						
Each of the Pepsi press releases describe a method an seeding codes which were redeemable for 100 million differ from the above by the specifics of the song transchool pta, ar other non-profits have for many decade WISER et al show the specific mechanics on digital r similar process of Pepsi would have been obvios to the	n songs at the insfer process and sprevious to the media song sale	Tunes store before the filing of d the organization running the pe filing of the instant case engage. Therefore to employ the poor	the priority document. The claims promotion. It is noted that charities, ges in promotional sales of goods. ess and system of WISER et al in a			
4						